



LEGAL AI VISIBILITY INDEX | MIAMI | SEPTEMBER 2026

How Visible Are Miami Personal Injury Lawyers in AI Search?

AISearch.wiki evaluated 40 prominent Miami personal injury law firms across five public-signal dimensions that can affect how easily search and AI systems discover, understand, trust, extract, mention, and cite a source.

77.2	77	17	0	10.7/20
Average /100	Median /100	Strong 80-89	Excellent 90+	Avg. citation strength

Headline finding: No firm in the 40-firm Miami cohort reached AISearch.wiki's 90+ Excellent tier. The market averaged 23.1/25 for Discoverability but only 10.7/20 for Citation Strength.

Research date: September 6, 2026 | 40-firm benchmark | 35 direct HTML scans | 5 documented web-recovery scans

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Miami firms are easy to find. Being citation-ready is harder.

The cohort averaged **77.2/100**, with a median of **77**. 17 firms (42.5%) scored Strong and 16 (40.0%) scored Promising. No firm reached the 90+ Excellent tier.

The largest gap is Citation Strength. Miami firms averaged **23.1/25 (92.3%)** for Discoverability but only **10.7/20 (53.2%)** for Citation Strength. The strongest competitive opportunity is therefore publishing claims and evidence that answer engines can verify and cite.

Dimension	Average	% of max	Primary signal theme
Discoverability	23.1/25	92.3%	Reachability, crawler access, canonical, sitemap, crawlable text
Entity Strength	16.4/20	82.1%	Brand/entity clarity, schema, sameAs, authorship, identity
Citation Strength	10.7/20	53.2%	Sources, evidence, methodology, attribution, quantified support
Answer Extractability	15.3/20	76.6%	Headings, Q&A, early coverage, passages, lists/tables
Trust & Freshness	11.7/15	78.0%	Responsibility, dates, JSON-LD, editorial context, metadata

Score distribution

Band	Threshold	Firms	Share
Excellent	90-100	0	0.0%
Strong	80-89	17	42.5%
Promising	70-79	16	40.0%
Needs work	55-69	7	17.5%
Weak	Below 55	0	0.0%

Top 10 firms

Rank	Firm	Score	Band
1	Mausner Group Injury Lawyers	89	Strong
2	Goldberg & Rosen	88	Strong
3	Needle & Ellenberg, P.A.	88	Strong
4	Perkins Personal Injury Lawyers	87	Strong
5	AccidentLawFirm.com / Nuñez Law	86	Strong
6	Elstein Legal	86	Strong
7	Pita Weber Del Prado	86	Strong
8	Aigen Injury Law	85	Strong
9	Amanda Demanda Injury Lawyers	85	Strong
10	Zayed Law Offices Personal Injury Attorneys	85	Strong

FULL 1-40 RANKING | 1-20

Miami Personal Injury Lawyer AI Visibility Ranking - 1 to 20

Score descending; alphabetical firm name breaks exact ties. D = Discoverability; E = Entity Strength; C = Citation Strength; A = Answer Extractability; T = Trust & Freshness. Recovery rows remain labeled.

#	Firm	Score	Band	D	E	C	A	T	Source
1	Mausner Group Injury Lawyers	89	Strong	25	17	17	17	13	Direct
2	Goldberg & Rosen	88	Strong	25	20	14	14	15	Direct
3	Needle & Ellenberg, P.A.	88	Strong	25	20	10	20	13	Direct
4	Perkins Personal Injury Lawyers	87	Strong	24	20	13	17	13	Direct
5	AccidentLawFirm.com / Nuñez Law	86	Strong	25	16	13	17	15	Direct
6	Elstein Legal	86	Strong	25	17	13	20	11	Direct
7	Pita Weber Del Prado	86	Strong	25	17	11	20	13	Direct
8	Aigen Injury Law	85	Strong	25	20	10	17	13	Direct
9	Amanda Demanda Injury Lawyers	85	Strong	25	20	10	17	13	Direct
10	Zayed Law Offices Personal Injury Attorneys	85	Strong	25	20	7	20	13	Direct
11	Lipcon & Lipcon, P.A.	84	Strong	25	16	11	20	12	Direct
12	The Soffer Firm	84	Strong	25	16	13	17	13	Direct
13	Bernstein & Maryanoff Injury Attorneys	83	Strong	25	20	5	20	13	Direct
14	Hickey Law Firm Accident and Injury Trial Lawyers	82	Strong	24	17	14	14	13	Direct
15	Shaked Law Personal Injury Lawyers	82	Strong	25	20	10	17	10	Direct
16	Freidin Brown, P.A.	80	Strong	25	17	10	17	11	Direct
17	Panter, Panter & Sampedro, P.A.	80	Strong	24	16	10	17	13	Direct
18	Rubenstein Law	79	Promising	23	16	11	14	15	Direct
19	Gordon & Partners	77	Promising	25	17	10	14	11	Direct
20	Morgan & Morgan	77	Promising	25	17	10	17	8	Direct

Retrieval provenance is a disclosure field, not a ranking factor. Direct = retrieved HTML. Recovery = documented public web-search evidence after direct retrieval failed.

FULL 1-40 RANKING | 21-40

Miami Personal Injury Lawyer AI Visibility Ranking - 21 to 40

Score descending; alphabetical firm name breaks exact ties. D = Discoverability; E = Entity Strength; C = Citation Strength; A = Answer Extractability; T = Trust & Freshness. Recovery rows remain labeled.

#	Firm	Score	Band	D	E	C	A	T	Source
21	Payer Law Personal Injury Lawyers	77	Promising	25	17	7	17	11	Direct
22	Stewart Tilghman Fox Bianchi & Cain, P.A.	77	Promising	17	18	14	16	12	Recovery
23	Leesfield & Partners	76	Promising	25	13	13	14	11	Direct
24	Wolfson & Leon	76	Promising	25	13	10	17	11	Direct
25	Leighton Panoff Law	75	Promising	24	20	10	11	10	Direct
26	Friedman Rodman Frank & Estrada, P.A.	73	Promising	25	13	13	11	11	Direct
27	Hannon Legal Group	73	Promising	25	13	10	14	11	Direct
28	Raposo & Lukacs, PLLC	73	Promising	13	18	14	16	12	Recovery
29	James Piccolino Law, P.A.	72	Promising	25	16	7	11	13	Direct
30	Suarez & Montero	72	Promising	24	13	11	11	13	Direct
31	Kurzban Kurzban Tetzeli & Pratt, P.A.	71	Promising	10	19	16	15	11	Recovery
32	Gerson & Schwartz Accident & Injury Lawyers	70	Promising	25	13	10	14	8	Direct
33	Max Law	70	Promising	24	17	7	11	11	Direct
34	Mesa Law Firm	69	Needs work	9	18	16	16	10	Recovery
35	Podhurst Orseck, P.A.	69	Needs work	25	13	4	14	13	Direct
36	Madalon Injury Lawyers	67	Needs work	25	13	7	11	11	Direct
37	Steinger, Greene & Feiner	67	Needs work	25	13	7	14	8	Direct
38	BLUCAS LAW	66	Needs work	12	16	14	14	10	Recovery
39	Grossman Roth Yaffa Cohen	66	Needs work	23	12	7	11	13	Direct
40	Shamis & Gentile, P.A.	55	Needs work	22	10	7	9	7	Direct

Retrieval provenance is a disclosure field, not a ranking factor. Direct = retrieved HTML. Recovery = documented public web-search evidence after direct retrieval failed.

Direct-scan technical snapshot

Technical adoption rates use only the 35 direct HTML scans because crawler-only fields are intentionally unknown on recovery rows.

Signal	Direct scans reporting signal
Sitemap detected	35/35 (100.0%)
Root llms.txt detected	10/35 (28.6%)
OAI-SearchBot blocked	0/35
PerplexityBot blocked	0/35

METHODOLOGY & PROVENANCE

What AISearch.wiki measures

The AI Visibility Potential framework totals 100 points across five observable dimensions. It measures source conditions that can make a site easier to discover, understand, trust, extract, mention, and cite. It does not directly measure whether a firm appears for a particular prompt in a particular AI product.

Dimension	Maximum
Discoverability	25 points
Entity Strength	20 points
Citation Strength	20 points
Answer Extractability	20 points
Trust & Freshness	15 points

Retrieval disclosure

35 of 40 firms completed direct HTML scans. 5 of 40 (12.5%) required documented web-search recovery. Recovery rows averaged **89.2/100** fallback confidence. They use the same five category maxima but derive evidence from indexed public web evidence rather than raw HTML, and remain explicitly labeled.

Firm	Score	Primary failure	Confidence
Stewart Tilghman Fox Bianchi & Cain, P.A.	77	HTTP_403	91/100
Raposo & Lukacs, PLLC	73	HTTP_403	86/100
Kurzban Kurzban Tetzeli & Pratt, P.A.	71	SSL_ERROR	88/100
Mesa Law Firm	69	HTTP_403	93/100
BLUCAS LAW	66	SSL_ERROR	88/100

Methodology notes

Band thresholds: Excellent 90-100; Strong 80-89; Promising 70-79; Needs work 55-69; Weak below 55. Root llms.txt presence may be reported but does not add points. Scores separated by one or two points should be interpreted cautiously because websites and public discovery signals can change.

This benchmark is a website/source visibility analysis, not a ranking of legal skill, ethics, case outcomes, fees, or suitability for any legal matter.

MARKET IMPLICATIONS

The opportunity is becoming easier to cite, not merely easier to crawl.

1. Publish original Miami injury research

Use dated analyses of crash patterns, road types, injury severity, court trends, insurance issues, and authoritative public datasets. Explain the method and link to primary sources.

2. Put visible references on commercial pages

When discussing statutes, deadlines, insurance requirements, crash statistics, or medical facts, cite authoritative Florida and federal sources in the visible page body.

3. Structure case-result evidence

Present consistent records with case type, year, jurisdiction, outcome, and explanatory context. Keep claims supportable and avoid unsupported superlatives.

4. Increase direct-answer coverage

Use natural-language question headings, concise self-contained answers, FAQs, lists, and tables while retaining deeper explanatory content.

5. Measure observed visibility separately

Use a fixed prompt set to track actual mentions and citations by AI provider. Do not collapse source potential and observed AI ranking into one metric.



Press-ready finding: Miami Personal Injury Lawyers Are Easy for AI to Find - but Much Harder for AI to Cite. In AISeach.wiki's September 2026 benchmark, 40 prominent firms averaged 23.1/25 for Discoverability but only 10.7/20 for Citation Strength. No firm reached the 90+ Excellent tier.

Interpretation and limitations

Inclusion and rank do not evaluate legal skill, ethics, fees, client outcomes, or suitability for any legal matter. Websites and public discovery files change; cite the September 6, 2026 research date when referencing this edition.

Companion assets: [miami-personal-injury-lawyers-ai-visibility-september-2026.csv](#) | [miami-personal-injury-lawyers-ai-visibility-september-2026/index.md](#)